

California Libertarian News

The Newsletter of the Libertarian Party of California

Volume IV, Number 9

December 1989

THE GREAT INT'L FAX-IN

Help Liberate China Through Fax Power!

**Liberation should never be left to governments.
It always belongs to the people and is, ultimately,
an agreement between individuals.**

—*L.A. Weekly*

"What did happen in Tian An Men Square in June? What has happened in China since then? If you're the average person in China, the truth is you don't know. The Chinese government set about eradicating facts, obscuring details and rewriting history before the blood) even congealed. The brainwashing and disinformation since Tian An Men Square has been exhaustive and unrelenting. According to recent visitors to China, the average person doesn't know what to believe and is beginning to doubt his/her own earlier information about the events."

That was the lead paragraph in an article in the *L.A. Weekly* (Nov. 10-16), an alternative paper in Los Angeles, telling readers how they, too, could "follow up on the good work begun by the students and to help liberate China via your fax." How's that for an idea! It's do-able, spontaneous, completely voluntary—and devastatingly effective!

Some background: Feng Xiao and Cao Chang-Quing, two young Chinese journalists living in Alhambra, California, have been printing a pro-liberation newspaper which looks like the official Communist Party organ, the *People's Daily*, but is actually a parody. It combines articles, eyewitness accounts and photos of the massacre, reports about the ongoing repression, plus "news of a flourishing exiled pro-democracy movement, statements from student leaders detailing world reaction, and biting satire aimed at Community Party officials and petty bureaucrats who do their bidding."

The Fax-In Idea: The popular French magazine *Actuel* heard about this and came up with a fantastic idea—a coordinated world-wide fax-in to get news and ideas into China. *Actuel* published a list of about 2,000 fax numbers and a copy of the doctored *People's Daily* and asked its readers to send it on its way. The fax numbers are for machines in bureaucracies, workplaces, universities throughout China. The idea

is to pick a number, insert your page of text, and wait for the words "Transaction OK." Copies will be made surreptitiously by clerks, assistants and secretaries at the receiving end.

To date, 15 publications in countries in Western Europe, North and South America, have joined the effort by publishing the fax numbers and the *People's Daily* parody. A major computer company is exploring the possibility of putting all the information on its international BBS—which means every user in the world will be able to hack in and send it to China via modem, according to *L.A. Weekly's* Julie Thompson in a phone interview.

The Chinese government is not taking this laying down; *Actuel* reports that a cop has been stationed beside every fax machine in Beijing. Try one of the smaller cities.

This is the first libertarian publication to report on the fax-

continued on page 4

For Your Calendar

National Committee Meeting

The National Committee of the Libertarian Party will meet in San Diego, December 2-3. The two-day meeting will include an evening Community Forum/Debate on Drug Re-Legalization. All events will be at the Clarion Hotel, 2223 El Cajon, San Diego, 92114; (619) 296-2101 for reservations. \$55/night, single or double.

FEE Luncheon and Seminars

The Foundation For Economic Education (FEE), one of the oldest educational groups in the movement and best known for its magazine *The Freeman*, is holding an all-day seminar session, Saturday, Dec. 9, at the Westin South Coast Plaza in Costa Mesa. The \$50 charge (\$75/two persons) includes a luncheon in honor of Dr. Orval Watts—scholar, author and a founder of FEE. Reservations are requested, contact FEE at (914) 591-7230.

DECEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
						2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

News and Events from the Regions

NOVEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
						2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

Please Renew Early

Please check your newsletter label to see if your membership expires in Dec. or expired in the last couple of months. If the answer is yes, you would be helping the Party a great deal if you sent in your dues now and didn't wait for a formal request notice. And while you're about it, how about an extra donation to help the Party into the New Year! Your generosity would be greatly appreciated. Mail your renewals and donations to Gail Lightfoot, Box 3207, San Dimas, 91773.

Here and There

REGION 67 (East San Gabriel Valley): Gail Lightfoot is organizing an anti-tax protest to commemorate the 215th anniversary of the Boston Tea Party. Southern California officers will be notified of details as they are worked out. Meanwhile, call Gail for information; (714) 623-1117. This couldn't come at a better time—especially since members of Congress have slipped themselves a Christmas pay rise—a rider on an ethics bill, no less!

LOS ANGELES: About 15 protestors from the LPC and several anti-drug war groups were on hand at the Beverly Hilton in Beverly Hills, Oct. 24, to welcome (if that's the right word) Drug Tsar William Bennett, himself. Bennett, who was to address a group inside, apparently did not want to be welcomed by us and managed to evade our pickets and slip inside unseen.

INYO COUNTY: Bill Baucum, LPC chair for Inyo county, gave testimony at the hearing on HR 788 (the Desert Protection Act), Oct. 28, and read our resolution of opposition (approved by the ExCom in August) into the record. He condemned this effort by Congress to place 8.8 million acres of desert land under federal control—and off-limits to people who live and work in the desert.

At a second hearing in Barstow, Nov. 11, Steve Pencall (Region 63) and Del Harbour, San Bernardino Co. chair, showed up, but the crowd was so huge—1,800—that they were “bumped” from the speakers list. The crowd seemed a decided 2-1 against the bill, and those who were in favor had been bused in from Los Angeles and Las Vegas by the Sierra Club. A third hearing is scheduled for January in Los Angeles; date not yet announced.

California Libertarian News (CLNews) is the official newsletter of the Libertarian Party of California. Opinions and articles contained therein do not necessarily represent official Party positions unless so indicated.

Please send regional news, calendar items, photos, non-member subscriptions (see below), and other material to:

Sarah E. Foster, Editor
777 Terrace 49
Los Angeles, CA 90042
(213) 259-9580

Subscription and Advertising Rates: Non-member subscriptions to *CLNews* are available for \$15 per year, bulk mail. Checks should be made payable to the LPC. Subscriptions are included as a membership benefit when you join the Libertarian Party of California (see membership form on page seven). For advertising rates and specifications, contact Sarah Foster.

Missed It by THAT MUCH!

Scott Lieberman

Here are the results of the Corte Madera Town Council race held Nov. 7. Alan Airoidi, 1,333; N. Richardson, 1,286; Ben Wolff, 857; Greta Bickford, 831; Lucy Schukin, 382.

The first three were elected; the incumbents were Airoidi and Schukin. Greta was the Libertarian. What makes the 27 vote loss (two percent of the people that voted) even more daunting is that Schukin withdrew from the race, but filed her paper to do that a day late, so she remained on the ballot, inadvertently pulling votes from Greta.

Greta has a good shot at being elected by the council to the Planning Commission, which will provide a good springboard for her to run again two years from now.

For more information, contact Greta at (415) 927-4814.

More Outreach Action

The Diamond Chart, presented as public opinion poll, is proving to be worth more than gold as an outreach and registration tool. Field director Jim Lewis set it up at the LPC booth at the Pomona Gun Show (Nov. 4-6), and over 100 people took part. What was amazing was that nearly all of those interviewed scored 100 percent! Not surprisingly, 14 folks registered with us, and many more signed the inquiry list.

At an ABATE rally Nov. 19, in Region 61, Clark Marley, organizer of TEAM LIBERTY (a Libertarian/motorcyclist outreach group), was able to get himself and Dennis Thompson on the speakers list. Neal Donner, Aaron Starr, Sandi Webb, Nancy Neale, Ernst Ghermann, and Jim Lewis were on hand, and once again the Diamond Chart proved its value as a tool for opening dialogue. About 100 people were interviewed, of these, 90 scored in the libertarian quadrant. And we have 11 new LP registrants.

Have You Heard About. . . ?

Activist Directory: Mary Gingell, of the Affiliated Parties Committee and vice-chair of the LP, has assembled a list of national and state LP officers, executive directors, committee chairs, newsletter editors and other LP VIPs. “The list should provide you with a rich source of people who work on projects similar to your own, have the same concerns and goals for their LP work and may have some creative approaches to your particular project,” writes Mary. It is compiled annually with quarterly updates. To get your name listed, or to be on the distribution list, contact Mary at 70-B Escondido Village, Stanford, 92305.

Liberty Club: Elwood Anderson of Santa Barbara has set up an e-mail group on the Prodigy Communications Service, in response to many posts about libertarianism, objectivism and related topics that showed up on the Prodigy bulletin boards. Mr. Anderson writes: “We discuss liberty issues in an open, uncensored format. . . I would like to notify Libertarians of the Liberty Club on Prodigy.”

Prodigy was started by IBM and Sears in April, and currently reaches 100,000 households. It runs on both PC compatible and MacIntosh computers. For more information, Mr. Anderson can be contacted at 867 Via Abajo, Santa Barbara, 93110; or call Prodigy at (800) 759-8000.

Student Activist Will Administer Antidote

"Absolute unity, passivity—that's the essence of Chinese culture, but it's also the essence of despotism. That is why China cannot develop into a modern, individually-based culture. China is addicted to the cultural drug of absolute unity. And this addiction to the drug of absolute unity and the common good sends China through one vicious despotic cycle after another. To break this cycle requires a powerful antidote—the concept of individuality"—according to Kai Chen, a political science graduate from UCLA; and it is to help administer the antidote that Kai Chen has taken on a formidable task: translating the works of Ayn Rand into Chinese and introducing them into China.

The undertaking is particularly impressive in that Kai never heard of Rand or Objectivism until three months ago when he was invited to be a speaker at Region 61 supper club meeting. Hearing remarks like the one above inspired Aaron Starr to ask Kai if he'd ever read Rand. Fortunately, bookseller Mike Timko was there and had a copy of *Anthem*. The rest is history.

Kai Chen was born in Beijing in 1953, which meant he was in his early teens at the beginning of the Cultural Revolution. Because of his family background—relatives on Taiwan—he was forbidden to study at the university, and it was only because of his ability on the basketball court that he was allowed to join the army. He was in the military for 10 years as a member of the national basketball team, and travelled to many countries with the team, enjoying considerable international recognition.

Still, there were problems—the kind so often faced by



people who are interested in ideas. Kai recalls, "it was hard. There were few people I could really communicate with. They weren't interested in thinking, in using the mind."

He met a young woman graduate student studying in Beijing—one of the first American's to go there on the education programs. They were married shortly before coming to the states in 1981. Once here he began his advanced education: junior college, then UCLA.

He was active in the protest movement here, and now has turned his attention to translation. "It was wonderful to read *Anthem*," he says. "Here was a writer that put everything I believed in into words. . . I want to get a Chinese version into China. *Anthem* is really a kind of fairytale. I think people will understand it better than her more serious works."

Ideology and Political Reality

John Vernon

The Libertarian Party is an ideologically-based political party, part of a larger ideological movement. The supreme idea of the Party is that each individual is uniquely sovereign, and this idea is expressed in our Statement of Principles: "We hold that all individuals have the right to exercise sole dominion over their own lives, and have the right to live in whatever manner they choose, so long as they do not forcibly interfere with the equal rights of others to live in whatever manner they choose."

From this idea we extrapolate the positions that people have a right to decide how they will spend their own money, that they have a right to decide what they put in their own bodies, and therefore have a right to use drugs and to choose how they will medicate themselves. We also hold that individuals have the right to choose how they will conduct their sexual lives, and therefore we determine that there should be no state-imposed prohibitions against homosexuality and prostitution. All well and good.

The problem which Libertarians run up against, time and time again, is that of gaining access to forums which can enable us to make our ideas a part of the political system: i.e., getting elected to public office; using the initiative system to change laws; getting our candidates on the ballot; and getting them a hearing once they are on the ballot.

At the past meeting of the Executive Committee of the Libertarian Party of California, it was decided to endorse the two-term limitation initiative promoted by Operation New Broom, a coalition of taxpayers and voters' groups, with a

number of Libertarians already participating. I heartily endorse this initiative and urge you to participate in its circulation once it has been summarized and titled by the Attorney General's office.

Unfortunately, some Libertarians regard this initiative as unlibertarian on the basis that it restricts the freedom of the individual office-holder. If that is the case, then it must also be held that age restrictions are also unlibertarian—limitations which are contained within the Constitution.

Against this position I must maintain that there is no natural right to rule, and that any office-holder is in essence and employee who has only a right to serve so long and under such conditions as his or her employers—in this case the people over he exercises authority—wish him or her to serve. Public office, if it is legitimate, is a contracted position, which can only be held under such conditions as the voters choose to allow.

That the results of unlimited tenure in office are unlibertarian cannot, I think, be argued. The pandering to special interests, especially of the power to tax, result in the most awful impositions upon the people, and in the absence of the automatic termination of office, it must be expected that these abuses of power will continue indefinitely.

The argument that the term-limitation initiative is unlibertarian constitutes an absurd application of libertarian principle, that results in a patently unlibertarian state of affairs. Frankly, if libertarians and others who are outside the establishment are to have any hope of breaking down the

continued on page 4

NATO, the LP and the Constitution

Sarah E. Foster

On November 11, the Executive Committee of the Libertarian Party passed a resolution urging U.S. withdrawal from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO). Withdrawal from NATO is part of our Platform and a position I heartily endorse. Unfortunately, the resolution rests on somewhat questionable assertions which mars the overall impact of the intent. Be that as it may, it does provide a springboard for discussion of a crucial issue that Libertarians should be aware of, namely, the use of treaties by the Executive Department to circumvent and undercut the Constitution.

Space does not permit reprinting of the resolution, but in brief it holds that we should withdraw from NATO and pull U. S. troops from Europe because "the cold war is now over"—as evidenced by the INF treaty, the Polish Government's decision to reduce its forces, the decision of the East German officials to allow East Germans to leave, etc.

I don't intend to discuss the resolution in depth. As I said, it's only a springboard. My main objection is that it implies there may have been a justified reason for participation in NATO, now negated by actions of the Soviet Union. In fact, participation was never justified, and was/is both unconstitutional and dangerous. Dangerous, too, is the view that the Soviet Union is no longer a threat—but that's another matter.

In 1955, Dr. Orval Watts—one of the founders of FEE—published *The United Nations: Planned Tyranny*, which explores in detail the dangers inherent in certain treaties and the treaty-signing process. Attention is directed to Article VI of the U.S. Constitution:

...all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every State shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.

Dr. Watts discusses at length how certain Supreme Court rulings have expanded this Article, so that a treaty can have authority not only over the States, but over all acts of Congress and all state constitutions and laws. Moreover (and more ominously), the Supreme Court has ruled that an Executive Agreement can have the power of a treaty!

Regarding U.S. participation in NATO, Dr. Watts points out that in 1954 President Eisenhower attempted to quiet "widespread fear" that he might involve American armed forces in the Indochinese War, and in a press conference said, "There is going to be no involvement of America in war unless it is the result of the constitutional process that is placed upon Congress to declare it."

Administrators in NATO were alarmed that this meant the President felt obligated to ask Congress for a declaration of war before ordering American forces into action, but Secretary of State John Foster Dulles, reassured them that the NATO treaty gives the President full authority to order immediate American military retaliation for any attack upon the NATO countries—without consulting Congress!

Dr. Watts also details Truman's transfer of power from Congress to the president, and hence to the United Nations, through his decision to send American troops into combat in Korea without Congressional approval—a move made possible by U.N. definition of this as an international "police action." Participation in police actions of this kind can be demanded of all member states—including the U.S.—under certain articles of the U.N. Charter; and when the U.S. joined the United Nations, it became a signatory to the most all-encompassing treaty in existence.

Planned Tyranny was written six years before U.S. air power was used to transport U.N. troops into the Congo where they set about to butcher Katangan forces and civilians (1961); and before our full-scale involvement in Southeast Asia. It's interesting that Dr. Watts notes "widespread fear" about possible involvement in Indochina. In less than a decade that "widespread fear" was realized through another alliance, the Southeast Asia Treaty Organization (SEATO). As in the case of Korea (and potentially with NATO), the president was able to make an end-run on Congress, plunging America into an undeclared war that was one of the bloodiest conflicts we have ever been involved in.

Dr. Watts is not the only libertarian author to address the dangers of treaties—Roger Lee MacBride, LP presidential candidate in 1976, tried to alert us back in the mid-1950s with his book *Treaties vs the Constitution*.

Treaties cover a wide range of subjects, not just war-related matters. For instance, a recent U.S./Canadian trade agreement contains a paragraph restricting journalists! Awareness of the danger posed by indiscriminate treaty ratifications has prompted the Virginia legislature to pass (on February 17, 1989) a joint resolution "memorializing Congress to enter into no treaty abridging the Bill of Rights contained in the Constitution of the United States" and "to guard against the abridgment or erosion, through the treaty-making process, of the freedoms guaranteed to all Americans through the Bill of Rights."

Clearly, this is something we should be looking into.

The Great Int'l Fax-In

from page one

in. Unfortunately, newsletters like *CLNews* simply aren't able to publish all the numbers and material—but send an SASE (8½x 11; 37 cents postage) and we'll send you a copy of the articles in the *L.A. Weekly*, which include information about fax charges to China (surprisingly cheap) and other details. Address: 777 Terrace 49, Los Angeles, 90042.

Ideology and Political Reality

from page three

walls of statism, we must find ways of keeping the current batch of office holders from perpetuating themselves at taxpayers expense; we must make it just as difficult for them to be elected again as it is for us to be elected just once. The

term limitation amendment to the state constitution a measure which goes a long way toward accomplishing this, since it does not allow an office-holder to run for another office while on the public payroll. In fact, the initiative would have been a one-term limitation if the authors had held such a drastic measure could be sold to the voters. Here, political reality seemed to dictate that it was better to accept half a loaf than no loaf: even getting the half loaf promises to be a formidable task.

The *Orange County Register*, in an editorial endorsement of this initiative, had this to say: "The fact is, one of the great perils to our liberties may well be the legislator-for-life, the lawmaker who knows only the corridors of government power, who has forgotten, if he ever knew, what it takes to survive in the private sector. First in Sacramento, and next in Washington, it's time to show perpetual politicians the door." I could not agree more.

Lobbying for Liberty

AB 160 Hearings Cancelled

Without warning, the Assembly Committee on Housing and Community cancelled the hearings on AB 160, the bill designed to limit sharply the power of redevelopment agencies to use eminent domain. According to committee chair Dan Hauser, the purpose for cancellation is because the committee "has had to focus all their attention on earthquake related housing issues."

To say the least, this was very disappointing to the many witnesses who planned to present testimony—not only the Libertarians, but the homeowners, business persons, government officials (those on our side), and expert witnesses who had been contacted. Above all, it was disappointing to Richard Mountjoy, whose bill it was, and his staff which had worked very hard get the hearings scheduled in the first place. The argument about "earthquake safety" seems specious in that hearings on other topics are being heard—only those on eminent domain were cancelled.

It is suggested that anyone wishing to present testimony be present at the hearings anyway to let the Mr. Hauser and his staff know that his actions are definitely not appreciated, and to demand rescheduling. The dates remain the same: Nov. 29, Los Angeles; Dec. 5, San Diego; and Dec. 13, Santa Rosa. Contact Mr. Mountjoy's office for times and locations: (916) 445-7234; (818) 446-3134.

Friends and Enemies

In the ongoing struggle to save the Second Amendment, it's sometimes hard to figure out who's on our side. Take the National Rifle Association (NRA), for instance, which if you believe the six o'clock is the only game in town when it comes to gun-rights. If an anti-gun bill is protested, it's those "NRA-types" who receive the credit/blame; if a bill is voted in, it's "despite all lobbying efforts of the powerful pro-gun group, the NRA." What efforts? For that matter, what power?—considering that anti-Second Amendment bills have been passing through Sacramento slick as eggs through a goose. What lobbying gets done is by individuals and smaller gun organizations. Frankly, if the NRA is the bulwark we must rely on to guard our right to gun ownership we're in a heap of trouble.

In August the executive committee voted to place an ad for the Party in the NRA publication, *The American Rifleman*. The completed ad called attention to the fact that the Libertarian Party is the only political party that supports "all your natural and Constitutional rights without restriction. . ." and that the Libertarian party recognises ". . . the right to life, liberty, and property implies a right to defense of self and property, and that an armed citizenry is the final defense against government tyranny. . ." Since the ad was for the LPC, it was to be published only in copies sent to California members.

American Rifleman nixed it, saying it was not its policy to publish ads for political parties. Okay, but the "policy" seems to extend to other areas, such as not reporting news of third-party candidates—as was apparent during the recent campaign when the NRA refused to tell its readers about our presidential candidate Ron Paul and his long-standing membership in that organization.

As for lobbying efforts, across the country the NRA has persistently supported state pre-empt legislation—that is, legislation that grants a legislative body the "right" to pass laws to regulate gun ownership (see last month's newsletter); and has undercut efforts by local groups that call for a complete affirmation of the Second Amendment.

With a friend like the NRA, do we—or the gun owners of America—need enemies?

Directory

State Officers

Chair, John Vernon
6469 Gloria Ave., Van Nuys, 91406 (818) 780-9943
Northern Vice-Chair, Mark Sweany
P.O. Box 1123, Chico, 95927 (916) 345-9970
Southern Vice-Chair, Neal Donner
2739 Westgate Ave., Los Angeles, 90064 (213) 477-6462
Secretary, Gail Lightfoot
P.O. Box 3207, San Dimas, 91773 (714) 623-1117
Treasurer, Aaron Starr
2085 Alscot Ave., Simi Valley, 93063 (805) 583-3308
California State Office
2156 The Alameda, Suite B; San Jose, 95126 (408) 243-4766
FIDO-NET: 143/6 (408) 243-1933
modem parameters 8,N,1; modem speeds 300/1200/2400 baud
National LP Headquarters (202) 543-1988
1528 Penn. Ave., SE; Washington, D.C. 20003 (800) 682-1776



Libertarian Party of California

2156 The Alameda,
Suite B
San Jose, CA 95126

MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

I hereby certify that I do not believe in or advocate the initiation of force as a means of achieving political or social goals.

Signature _____ Date _____

- ☐ California Membership (\$15) or ☐ Combined Calif/National Membership (\$30)
☐ Additional Donation: ☐ \$25 ☐ \$25 ☐ \$100 ☐ \$250 Other: \$ _____
☐ Life Membership (\$500 or more)

Name _____

Address ☐ h ☐ w _____

City/State _____ Zip _____

Home Phone ____/____-____ Work Phone ____/____-____

Payment:

- ☐ Check to Libertarian Party of California
☐ Please charge my: ☐ VISA ☐ MasterCard

Card # _____ Exp. date _____

Name on card _____

Signature _____

Total Enclosed \$ _____

LOCAL EVENTS AND CONTACTS

COUNTY	DATE	EVENTS	CHAIR or CONTACT*	PHONE
Alameda	Thu., Dec. 14	Second Annual Holiday Potluck Dinner	Wayne Nygren	415/531-0760
Butte	Fri., Dec. 15	Potluck Dinner/Discussion	Jessica Strock	916/893-3836
Calaveras			Tom Tryon	209/736-4845
Contra Costa	Tue., Dec. 12	Mary Gingell, Nat'l LP Vice-Chair: Campus Organizing	Mike Rother	415/932-4993
El Dorado			Richard Akin	916/622-4785
Fresno			John Graham*	209/275-6220
Humboldt			Daniel Mosier*	707/822-7804
Inyo/Mono Counties		Call County Chair for Information	William Baucum	619/878-2288
Kern			Craig Gifford	805/832-6231
Lake/Mendocino/Napa Counties			Randall Grindle	707/994-4817
Los Angeles County (Regions 61-67)				
-61, San Fernando Valley	Wed., Dec. 13	Program and Speaker to be Announced	Phillip Myers	818/703-7056
-62, West L.A.		No Meeting in December	Bob Weber	213/204-0612
-63, Foothills	Tue., Dec. 19	Program and Speaker to be Announced	John Tuttle	818/242-5699
-64, Central L.A.	Sat., Dec. 16	Holiday Party	Don Meyer	213/463-5362
-65, Downey	Tue., Dec. 5	Get-together and General Discussion	Clark Marley	213/695-1979
-66, South Bay	Sun., Dec. 10	Philosophy Circle: Freedom's Future in Eastern Europe	Cullene Lang	213/595-6266
-67, E. San Gabriel Valley	Tue., Dec. 5	Is There a Ruling Class in America? (Albert J. Nock Forum)	G.J. Higgins*	213/285-8171
	Sat., Dec. 16	Celebrating the Boston Tea Party	Gail Lightfoot	714/623-1117
Marin	Fri., Dec. 1	Discussion Session: Libertarianism in the 1990s	Bob Bickford	415/927-4814
Merced			Roy Shimp	209/723-1768
Monterey			Bob Ratto	408/424-9346
Orange	Tue., Dec. 12	Business Meeting	Richard Martin	213/426-3069
Placer			Bruce Daniel*	916/663-2568
Riverside			Ron Holman	714/684-5218
Sacramento	Sat., Dec. 9	Business Meeting and Discussion	David Henderson*	916/922-9973
San Bernardino			Ed Moss*	714/986-6677
San Diego	Tue., Dec. 14	Paul and Shirley Eberle: Politics of Child Abuse	Dick Rider	619/276-1776
San Francisco	Wed., Dec. 13	Video: Milton Friedman — <i>From the Cradle to the Grave</i>	Jim Peron	415/864-0952
	Sat., Dec. 9	Richard Wong: Crisis in China (Free Exchange)	George O'Brien*	415/731-3031
San Luis Obispo			David Bersohn	805/466-3963
San Mateo			Lyn Sapowsky	415/368-8148
Santa Barbara	Wed., Dec. 15	Video: Interview with Ayn Rand	Bob Bakhaus*	805/965-2741
Santa Clara	Dec. 13 & 21	Discussion Group, 12/13; Bus. Mting and Dinner, 12/21	Steve Alexander	408/554-1119
Santa Cruz			Tom Coughlin	408/458-2603
Solano			Mark Moberg*	707/864-1673
Sonoma			Jim Oglesby	707/894-2658
Tulare	Dec. 09	Study Group Meeting	Roy Kendall	209/732-3237
Ventura	Tue., Dec. 12	Privatization of Space: Speaker to be Announced	Aaron Starr	805/583-3308
Yolo		See Sacramento County	Bryce Bigwood*	916/758-6219

NEWS DEADLINE FOR NEXT ISSUE: FRIDAY, DECEMBER 15

California Libertarian News

2156 The Alameda, Suite B
San Jose, CA 95126

Forwarding and Return Postage Guaranteed
Address Correction Requested

NON-PROFIT ORG.
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
BURBANK, CA
PERMIT NO. 130

Time Dated Material